

## Message Text

PAGE 01 STATE 097363

61  
ORIGIN SS-10

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 SSO-00 /011 R

66624  
DRAFTED BY:S/S-O:C. REDMAN  
APPROVED BY:S/S -T:J. HOGANSON  
----- 060614

R 260956Z APR 75  
FM SECSTATE WASHDC  
TO USNMR SHAPE  
USCINCEUR

S E C R E T STATE 097363

EXDIS MILITARY ADDES HANDLE AS SPECAT EXCLUSIVE

FOL USMATO2315 SENT ACTION SECSTATE INFO BONN LONDON MBFR  
VIENNA APRIL 25; REPEATED TO YOU QUOTE

S E C R E T USNATO 2315

EXDIS

DEPT PLEASE PASS TO DEPT OF DEFENSE, USNMR SHAPE AND USCINCEUR

E.O. 11652: XGDS-1  
TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJ: PROCEEDING IN NATO WITH OPTION III

REF: A. STATE 91705; B. USNATO 1967 DTG 101700Z APR 75 (NOTAL)

1. AMBASSADOR BRUCE MET ON APRIL 24 WITH PERMREPS OF FRG (KRAPF)  
AND UK (PECK) ABOUT MODALITIES FOR HANDLING OPTION III WITHIN  
THE ALLIANCE.

2. AMBASSADOR BRUCE DESCRIBED U.S. APPROACH PER REF A AS DE-  
SIGNED TO PROVIDE FOR EXPEDITIOUS AND FULL CONSIDERATION OF  
SECRET

PAGE 02 STATE 097363

THE ISSUES INVOLVED. HE NOTED THAT THE U.S. DESIRE TO LIMIT  
THE FORUMS TO NAC AND SPC, AND TO HAVE SPC MEETINGS REINFORCED  
BY EXPERTS FROM CAPITALS, WAS IN LINE WITH THIS OBJECTIVE.

3. KRAPF SAID THAT U.S. VIEWS ON MODALITIES CORRESPONDED

EXACTLY TO WHAT FRG HAD IN MIND. PECK THOUGHT THE U.S. APPROACH WAS A GOOD ONE. HE ASSUMED THAT THE U.S. WOULD PROPOSE THAT MC REP AT THE NAC MADE THE REQUEST TO SACEUR FOR UPDATE OF SHAPE ASSESSMENT, SINCE MC REP WAS NORMAL CHANNEL FOR SUCH A REQUEST. HE WISHED TO REPORT THE U.S. VIEWS TO LONDON, AND SUGGESTED ANOTHER MEETING OF OFFICERS FROM THE THREE DELEGATIONS ON THIS MATTER ON TUESDAY OR WEDNESDAY OF NEXT WEEK. HE SAID NOTHING ABOUT AN MBFR WORKING GROUP ROLE ON OPTION III. KRAPF AND PECK BOTH EXPRESSED HOPE THAT U.S. WOULD BE ABLE TO INTRODUCE OPTION III INTO THE NAC PRIOR TO THE MINISTERIALS (I.E. PRIOR TO MAY 22-23 DPC MINISTERIAL) IN ORDER TO HEAD OFF INQUIRIES AND REQUESTS BY MINISTERS OF OTHER COUNTRIES RE OPTION III.

4. COMMENT: MISSION ASSUMES THAT WHEN THE U.S. PROPOSES IN NAC AN UPDATE OF SHAPE ASSESSMENT, THE U.S. WILL PROPOSE THAT MC CHAIRMAN MAKE THE REQUEST TO SHAPE. THIS WAS THE MISSION'S RECOMMENDATION IN REF B, IS NORMAL PROCEDURE, AND IS THE WAY THE ORIGINAL SHAPE ASSESSMENT WAS HANDLED. MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE CONFIRMATION THAT THIS IS HOW U.S. INTENDS TO PROCEED.

5. IN ADDITION, MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE KNOWING WASHINGTON'S THINKING ON WHETHER THE SPC MEETINGS REINFORCED BY EXPERTS WOULD, IN ADDITION TO CONSIDERING POLICY ISSUES AND GUIDANCE TO AHG, WORK ON A WRITTEN, AGREED ALLIED MILITARY-TECHNICAL ANALYSIS. MISSION IN PARA 5, REF B CONSIDERED THAT AN UP-DATING OF SHAPE ASSESSMENT, COUPLED WITH PRESENCE OF EXPERTS FROM CAPITALS IN SPC, WOULD HELP OBTAIN NEED FOR SUCH A WRITTEN ANALYSIS ALTOGETHER, AND NOT JUST IN THE MBFR WORKING GROUP. WE CAN EXPECT, WITH NO MBFR WORKING GROUP ROLE, THAT ONE OR ANOTHER ALLY WILL SUGGEST THAT THE SPC REINFORCED BY EXPERTS UNDERTAKE SUCH AN ANALYSIS. IN OUR VIEW, AN AGREED, WRITTEN, ALLIED MILITARY-TECHNICAL ANALYSIS IS NOT NECESSARY FOR THE EXPERTS ATTENDING SPC MEETINGS TO EDUCATE POLICY LEVEL OFFICIALS ON THE ISSUES INVOLVED. FURTHERMORE, ONCE SUCH AN  
SECRET

PAGE 03 STATE 097363

ANALYSIS WERE BEGUN, IT COULD TEND TO SHIFT THE FOCUS OF SPC WORK TO THE DRAFTING OF THE ANALYSIS, AND AWAY FROM POLICY ISSUES AND GUIDANCE TO THE AHG. THE QUESTION OF WHETHER THERE SHOULD BE ANY AGREED MILITARY-TECHNICAL ANALYSIS MAY HAVE ARISEN IN SOME FORM AT THE TRILATERALS. IN ANY EVENT, THE QUESTION WILL EVENTUALLY ARISE HERE, AND MISSION WOULD APPRECIATE KNOWING WASHINGTON VIEW.

6. ACTION REQUESTED: AS DESCRIBED IN PRECEDING TWO PARAGRAPHS.  
BRUCE UNQUOTE KISSINGER

SECRET

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 26 AUG 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** ALLIANCE, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, POLICIES  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 26 APR 1975  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** CunninFX  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1975STATE097363  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** REDMAN  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** 11652 XGDS-1  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** D750147-0753  
**From:** STATE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1975/newtext/t197504104/baaaaicq.tel  
**Line Count:** 107  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, TEXT ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ORIGIN SS  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** EXDIS  
**Reference:** n/a  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** CunninFX  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 09 APR 2003  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <09 APR 2003 by ShawDG>; APPROVED <16 SEP 2003 by CunninFX>  
**Review Markings:**

Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
05 JUL 2006

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** <DBA CORRECTED> jms 971203  
**Subject:** n/a  
**TAGS:** PARM, GE, UK, US, NATO, (BRUCE), (KRAPP), (PECK)  
**To:** USNMR SHAPE  
USCINCEUR  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006